

DIALOGUE

D I A L n e w s l e t t e r

Number 34

April 2012

Editorial

A year after the Arab revolutions, and with Senegal and Mali presenting the best and worst of political scenarios, the spotlight is back on the connections between democracy and development. Economic literature over the last 20 years has paid a lot of attention to the relationship between the quality of democratic institutions (dubbed good “governance”) and growth. By and large, everyone agrees that good governance is conducive to growth even though recent studies defend the idea that the causal link runs not from good governance to growth, but from growth to good governance. DIAL conducted some pioneering research into these questions with surveys on governance and democracy in Madagascar dating as far back as 1995. This data collection work has served as input for a number of original research studies reviewed in this issue. Our newsletter also looks into what we can do with this statistical investment in the near future. We then present the preliminary findings of a survey of Senegalese migrants exiting polling stations in the United States and France during the first round of the presidential election in Senegal.

Any relationship between the quality of democratic institutions and growth most likely channels through the political stability fostered by good institutions. And what goes for states arguably also goes for small entities such as research centres. DIAL is no exception to this rule. It is because our successive directors have upheld the quality of our material and intellectual environment that DIAL now holds a prominent position in the development economics research landscape in France and continues to attract new researchers. The creation of the Joint Research Unit (UMR) in 2010 was an important milestone in this development. The unit’s initial management team of Jean-Marc Siroën, for the University of Paris Dauphine (UPD), and Xavier Oudin, for the IRD French development research institute, steered a smooth transition from DIAL’s former status (IRD research unit and economic interest group) to the new UMR status. We warmly thank them for this. A new management team took over in January 2012, in the shape of Flore Gubert, for IRD, and Philippe De Vreyer, for UPD, respectively in the positions of Director and Assistant Director. Our first priority will be to manage the UMR’s evaluation by the French Evaluation Agency for Research and Higher Education (AERES), scheduled for the autumn. This exercise will take stock of five years of scientific research into economic development issues and will identify new avenues of research. Our second priority will be to help Xavier Oudin steer the NOPOOR (Enhancing Knowledge for Renewed Policies Against Poverty) programme, which was officially launched on 1 April 2012. This project is funded by the European Commission under the FP7 Social Sciences and Humanities programme. The project associates 19 partners, including ten emerging and developing country institutions, for a five-year period.

Philippe De Vreyer and Flore Gubert



DIALOGUE
is Dial newsletter.
It is published
in French and English.
Circulation: 1.500 copies.

Free subscription on our web site
www.dial.prd.fr

Publisher:
Michel Laurent
Editors in Chief:
Flore Gubert
Philippe De Vreyer
Layout:
Coryne Ajavon
Danielle Delmas
Graphic design:
www.iAntal.com

ISSN No.: pending
UMR DIAL 225
Institut de Recherche pour le
Développement
Université Paris-Dauphine



Democratic governance in the developing countries: DIAL, six years later

Democratic institution building has always been high on the agenda in development economics. Yet economists have only recently come to see it as a fully fledged part of their research programme. The Arab Spring confirmed the urgent need to make serious inroads in this area and led the international institutions, including the World Bank, to officially acknowledge it (Zoellick, 2011). DIAL was one of the pioneers in this field, taking up the issue in its targeted surveys on governance and democracy (the first in Madagascar in 1995). The international lessons drawn from these surveys were presented in this newsletter in 2006 (See *Dialogue* No. 24). In this article, we look back over our main contributions to this area of research since. We focus on three main issues: elections, the analysis of corruption and confidence in the institutions; and statistical measurement and surveys.

Traditionally, the link between democratic governance and development has been studied at the macroeconomic level using country data (cross-section or panel). For example, a recent study showed that elections do not always guarantee reform in developing countries (Chauvet & Collier, 2009). The quality of elections, their frequency and the political cycle are also vital to understanding the impact of elections on the chances of reform, especially in the most fragile institutional environments. Nevertheless, in response to repeated criticism of the econometric approach based on cross-sectional data, a growing number of studies have adopted microeconomic, comparatist methods, taking advantage of the availability of original individual survey data. Most of our studies are in this vein.

1. Political participation in the Southern countries

Elections are the main technology of democracy and most of the developing countries now regularly hold elections. Yet holding elections is no guarantee of political stability. Recent years have sadly illustrated the risks associated with presidential election letdowns. Young democracies need to ensure that elections are transparent and that they prevent illegal interference (vote buying and intimidation) and ethnic manipulation.

All of these challenges could jeopardise the democratic consolidation process. DIAL has conducted research in order to understand the determinants of political participation in the developing countries. We have studied a range of institutional set-ups, with a focus on Mali and Ghana (two young democracies in the process of consolidation), Madagascar (a country repeatedly hit by political crises) and Vietnam (one-party rule). This range of situations drives home the message that there is not one way or means of conducting the democratic processes underway.

Political participation in a young democracy: what role does migration play?

Mali embarked upon its democratic transition in the early 1990s and remains, to this day, one of the democratic success stories in Africa. However, its fragile nature can be seen from its very low voter turnout in national and municipal elections (barely 30%).¹ A recent DIAL study highlights Mali's positive democratic consolidation path from 1998 to 2009 (Chauvet & Mercier, 2012). Each election led to a higher voter turnout in the following election, suggesting that democracy was taking hold. Mali has a myriad of small political parties dominated by the historical ADEMA party (Alliance for Democracy in Mali), a sign of both its vitality and its fragility. However, voter turnout in the different elections we studied seems to be higher at municipal level where a number of lists/candidates stood for election. Yet our analysis also suggests that remoteness from the main roads is a demobilising factor in the elections. The study moreover finds robust connections between voter turnout and the level of education and income.

The crux of our analysis concerns the link between migration and voter turnout. Mali has a long tradition of migration focusing especially on other West African countries and France. Migration can influence voting behaviour in different ways. To use Albert Hirschman's *Voice*

¹ At the time of writing, the fragile nature of the process has just been dramatically confirmed by a military coup d'état.

– *Exit – Loyalty* terminology, emigration (*exit*) increases the most productive individuals’ bargaining power (*voice*). The diaspora can also support political groups and social movements (*voice*).

What is more, return migrants have gained from their experience abroad and form a potential source of new practices and ideas. We are particularly interested in the role of return migrants in the political life of their country of origin. Our analysis suggests that municipalities with a high percentage of return migrants tend to have higher voter turnout rates than the others across all the elections we consider. We therefore believe that the return from migration is associated with democratic consolidation in the case of Mali.

Disenchantment and declining political participation in Madagascar

Madagascar has a number of points in common with Mali. Like Mali, democratic transition and consolidation in Madagascar also got underway in the early 1990s and continued for a decade (Roubaud, 2000). Yet their paths diverged in 2001 as Madagascar was hit by two major political crises. The latest crisis, dating from 2009, has still not been resolved. A comparison of the results of the two successive waves of *Afrobarometer* surveys (2005 and 2008) provided the first opportunity ever to really measure what people thought had changed in terms of democratic governance over this period and how this had affected their lives (Razafindrakoto *et al.*, 2008). The Malagasy were found to be very keen to preserve their civil liberties such as freedom of expression, the right to organise and freedom of the press. These opinions, already expressed in 2005, were even more strongly felt in 2008. The vast majority of the Malagasy people are also deeply attached to the general principles of democratic governance (against one-party rule, the excessive concentration of power and military intervention). However, beyond the matter of principles, the people were guarded about the actual practice of democratic governance. Although they were fairly satisfied with real civil liberties in the country, approximately one-quarter of said they were “not at all” or “not very able to express their opinions freely”. And a similar fraction felt that the specific kind of government in place is of little importance. This suggests a certain amount of disenchantment

with the political authorities. Even those who felt that democratic principles are actually applied often complained that democracy is far from perfect. This dissatisfaction with democratic governance is mirrored by a limited extent of trust in the political institutions.

The picture that emerges then is of a degree of disenchantment among the Malagasy people, resulting in a low level of interest in politics and limited public participation in this area. Barely half of the Malagasy interviewed said they had met with others to solve a problem in the course of the past year and less than 10% had contacted a politician about it. Less than 3% said they had taken part in a demonstration or a protest march. All of these types of participation had declined since 2005, marking the Malagasy people’s relative political disaffection. In 2008, they were more critical of the economic situation, felt they had less freedom of speech and harboured more mixed feelings about democratic governance. All of these factors played a part in sparking the 2009 political crisis in Madagascar and formed a harbinger of the abuses of power by deposed President Ravalomanana at a time when the international community continued to sing his praises.

A mixed diagnosis for participatory democracy in Vietnam

Unlike most of the other developing countries, civil society is not legally independent of the political authorities in Vietnam. All associations have to be members of the Vietnamese Fatherland Front. In practice, however, these associations do have a certain amount of autonomy of action, which is constantly being redefined as they go. Neither is there a clear-cut case in Vietnam of less participation by the people than in other developing countries with more open political systems (Cling *et al.*, 2009). Firstly, despite the official principle of “democratic centralism”, the system’s high degree of decentralisation gives real decision-making power to the local political echelons in the form of the people’s committees and councils, which operate at the different administrative levels (province, district and commune). These bodies enjoy a huge amount of independence based on their still-potent historical legitimacy dating back to the war (profusion of grassroots initiatives). They have a great deal of autonomy and central government has a hard time imposing its views.

Secondly, Vietnam's practice of having the local authorities consult the people is unheard of in similar developing countries. The people have many, albeit supervised, opportunities to make their voice heard. The P135 programme, for example, which is a vast poverty reduction programme for ethnic minorities, emphasises the importance of the people's participation. An ad-hoc survey system was set up to evaluate this programme: half of the households concerned said they were consulted about this programme and two-thirds of them said they were satisfied with the results (Le Dang Trung *et al.*, 2008).

However, this positive diagnosis is not all black and white. A detailed analysis of the P135 programme's participatory process raises questions about the real possibilities for the people to help shape the decisions made, beyond the stated principles (Culas *et al.*, 2012). For example, the survey finds a huge difference between what the people say and what the commune leaders say. The commune leaders say that 90% of the households are consulted and actively participate. Yet social pressure is brought to bear by the way in which this participation works, such as voting through a show of hands and taking turns to speak at these meetings (the leaders state their views first, which makes it risky to take any other stance). This restricts the beneficial effects of this participation and obviously changes the diagnosis deduced from the raw figures. We therefore need to dig deeper behind these figures to analyse the real situation.

Political elite, electoral motives and the geographic allocation of public goods in Ghana

Ghana is another of those rare African examples of ballot-box alternation of power. We have conducted a study of the early period in the country's democratic transition – from 1996 to 2004 – in which we ask whether electoral motives govern the local allocation of public goods (André & Mesplé-Soms, 2011). Traditionally, political economy models focusing on western democracies test two alternative hypotheses: either the swing constituencies receive the most public transfers to swing the vote in favour of the ruling party, or the areas that staunchly support the ruling party receive these transfers out of recognition for their historical support (pork barrel politics). Our study dismisses both of these predictions in the case of Ghana. During

Jerry Rawlings' presidency, it was the districts that voted in the majority for the opposition party that received the most public goods. In other words, the most advantaged areas are the electoral strongholds of the opposition party leaders. We explain this finding by the fact that, during this period, Ghana was still a young democracy with remnants of practices lingering on from the former dictatorship period (Rawlings, after his non-democratic government, also became the first president of Ghana to be democratically elected). The government in place chose to curry favour with the charismatic opposition party leaders and buy peace by distributing public funds in their constituencies rather than run the risk of rejection of the electoral process or even a coup d'état.

2. Corruption and trust in the institutions

Cleaning up corruption is top of today's development policy agenda, so much so that it sometimes becomes confused with the broader field of governance, of which it is but one aspect. There is not one country in which corruption is not a key argument in the politico-economic debate and, consequently, in the power struggles and changes in power. And researchers have looked into this question (see Rose-Ackerman, 2006, for a summary). At the same time, trust (in people and institutions) is now seen as a decisive factor in democratic consolidation.² These two phenomena are linked and the role played by corruption is crucial in this respect. By sapping trust in the institutions, corruption undermines the running of the political institutions in the long run. A number of DIAL studies have studied these issues in depth.

Exploring the determinants of petty corruption

One paper explores the microeconomic mechanisms behind corruption based on the 2005 *Afrobarometer* surveys of 18 Sub-Saharan countries, a network to which the authors belong (Lavallée *et al.*, 2010). It studies a particular type of corruption, petty bureaucratic corruption, which entails paying bribes to minor civil servants in the hope of receiving preferential

² *The decisive role of trust is not specific to the developing countries. See the study by Algan & Cahuc (2008) for the French case and associated criticism (Rodriguez & Wachsberger, 2009).*

treatment (obtaining a coveted licence), speeding up administrative procedures (facilitation payments and speed money), avoiding taxes and sidestepping regulations (Rose-Ackerman, 2006). The article basically seeks to answer the following questions. Which individuals are most likely to use such practices? Who is asked to pay bribes? Who pays bribes? This distinction between asking and paying is rarely made in the literature. It tests whether civil servants target their victims based on their ability to pay, as suggested by a number of theoretical models (Kaufmann & Wei, 1999; Lui, 1985). This argument implies that the wealthiest – those with a job or those who are the most tolerant of corruption – should be those who are approached the most for bribes. The results obtained are interesting on a number of ways. Some of them bear out the literature, which is mainly theoretical or based on a country approach and on corruption perception indicators. Other findings contradict the literature. They confirm that women display different behaviour with respect to corruption, in keeping with a number of previous studies on this subject. Women are less likely to pay bribes to avert a potential problem with the administration and less liable to make undue payments in their contacts with government services. However, community (ethnic or religious) ties do not play a decisive role in the corruption phenomenon. This article also rejects the “efficient grease” theory, which holds that corruption has a positive impact by opening the door to otherwise inaccessible public services. Lastly, our study finds that the perception of corruption and the fact of having already fallen victim to this phenomenon are important factors in its frequency, as are attitudes to corruption.

How corruption affects trust in institutions and the informal sector's performance

Along the same lines, we analysed how corruption impacts upon trust in the political institutions in Africa based on waves 2 and 3 of the *Afrobarometer* surveys (Lavallée, 2006; Lavallée *et al.*, 2008). To be more precise, we tested a repercussion of the abovementioned “efficient grease” theory: if corruption is seen in a positive light as opening the door to public services, then the people should have more trust in them. Our findings clearly reject this theory. They show that corruption undermines trust, irrespec-

tive of the quality of the government services. Nevertheless, they do suggest that experience and perception of corruption have different effects on institutional trust.

Last but not least, our study explores the links between corruption and the informal sector in Sub-Saharan Africa (Lavallée & Roubaud, 2011). The late 1990s and early 2000s saw many analyses of connections between corruption and the informal economy. Ever since the paper by Johnson *et al.* (1997), the broad consensus has been that corruption and the informal economy go hand in hand. Most of the studies on this focus on the specific situation of transition by socialist economies to a market economy, since this process came with growth in informal activities. It was therefore vitally important to understand why businesses concealed all or part of their activities. Our study set out to extend this type of analysis to the African environment, where the informal sector is a key economic powerhouse and corruption is endemic. We took an original database covering all the *1-2-3 Surveys* conducted in the seven economic capitals of the West African Economic and Monetary Union from 2001 to 2003. Specifically, we used Phase 2 data on a representative sample of heads of informal production units. This paper then studies the reasons why businesses choose to be informal, their exposure to corruption and how corruption affects their performance. Our analysis of the determinants of corruption shows that the mechanisms in play in the informal sector are the same as those found in the formal sector. The largest businesses, in general, and transport sector firms, in particular, are more exposed to corruption. Our findings also suggest that corruption drastically reduces business performance.

Petty corruption in Vietnam: measurement and analysis to help lift the taboos

Working with the Transparency International team, the data collected in Vietnam to build the *Global Corruption Barometer* were analysed by means of time comparisons (2008-2010) and space comparisons (with other Asian countries). In a first time in Vietnam, the findings of a survey of the population's views of corruption were presented to the public. They revealed mixed feelings among the people: there is more petty corruption in Vietnam (especially in the health services) than in neighbouring countries,

and the Vietnamese feel that the phenomenon is growing. However, they acknowledge the effort being made by the authorities to combat this scourge and they are more inclined to show willing to take part in it (Razafindrakoto, Roubaud & Salomon, 2011).

At the same time, an analysis of data from a nationwide youth integrity and corruption survey turned up a wealth of information. This study forms a basis for youth policy making and monitoring to promote integrity and corruption education and awareness (Dang Giang *et al.*, 2011). One of the striking findings of this survey is the disparity between the importance placed on integrity from a conceptual point of view and how young people behave when confronted with corruption. Nearly 90% consider that corruption is unacceptable on the whole, but 32% see it as normal to “pay a bribe to a hospital worker to get better treatment”. In addition, 13% of young people admit that this practice is not proper behaviour, but are willing to accept it. The older the young people interviewed, the more “accommodating” they are to normative moral principles and everyday corruption. The survey also reveals that young people are more at risk of corruption than adults, especially in situations where their professional future is on the line. A full 38% of young people are prepared to pay a bribe to get into a good school or to get a job.

3. Taking forward data collection and methodological innovations

DIAL continues to develop statistical tools to improve the methods used to monitor and evaluate democracy and governance in the developing countries, in connection with poverty and the population’s living conditions. A number of methodological publications have reported on this work (Razafindrakoto & Roubaud, 2006; Herrera *et al.*, 2007 & 2008). Our pioneering approach, launched in the 1990s, has grown in stature with international recognition from sources such as the Stiglitz Commission (2009) in its work and the *Measuring Progress* initiative (OECD, 2011). The starting point for this was that the recent past’s mushrooming international databases based mainly on what the (generally Northern) experts had to say were no substitute for representative surveys that gave voice to the Southern people. Worse still, a comparison of Northern and Southern views of

corruption in Africa (Razafindrakoto & Roubaud, 2010)³ showed that these databases gave a distorted, disparaging image of the people of the South, with dramatic consequences in terms of aid allocation and FDI focuses.

DIAL’s work in this area is based on four types of operation: grafting democracy and governance modules onto the official statistical household surveys, especially the *1-2-3 Surveys*; conducting socio-political surveys with the *Afrobarometer* network; developing innovative ad-hoc surveys associated with specific research programmes; and using and matching existing socioeconomic and political databases.

On the first front, we have taken forward our data collection and methodological consolidation work, expanding our geographical coverage. To date, these modules have been conducted in some 15 African, Latin American and Asian (Vietnam) countries, in systematic partnership with the national statistics institutes. Some countries have decided to institutionalise these modules. This is the case for Benin, Madagascar, Mali and especially Peru, which is the most accomplished model in this approach. The Vietnamese experience is particularly informative. DIAL researchers worked in association with the World Bank in Vietnam on designing a special governance module, which was grafted onto the *Vietnam Household Living Standard Survey* (VHLSS) in 2008. The survey covered a large sample of households. It was conducted by the General Statistics Office under the auspices of the Ministry for Investment Planning. The survey won out over reluctance in a fairly hostile environment by putting a good case, drawing on international experience and building a balanced, transparent partnership. Yet it has to be said that it’s not over till the fat lady sings... In this case, the survey findings have still not been published and the data have not been made available.

On the second front, DIAL joined the international *Afrobarometer* network in 2005 and is in charge of the surveys in Madagascar. The network, which now comprises some 20 African countries, conducts a series of surveys of nationally representative households in order to measure and analyse changes in people’s views

³ This paper won the African Politics Conference Group (APCG) award for the best political science article on Africa in 2010.

on governance, democracy, civil society and economic reform. These surveys were first launched in the late 1990s and will be conducted for the fourth time in 2012. In addition to coordinating the surveys in Madagascar (2005, 2008 and 2012), DIAL contributes to the network's scientific outreach with such actions as training for French-speaking African researchers at summer schools (2007 and 2009).

The third operation covers more detailed surveys on targeted focuses. For example, DIAL helped set up an original survey methodology for young people (and a control group of adults) to develop indicators on corruption and the "integrity" notion based on individuals' values, perceptions and practices (Giang *et al.*, 2011). The survey (*Youth Integrity Survey*) was piloted in Vietnam in 2010 in liaison with local NGOs and is set to be replicated in other countries at Transparency International's instigation. This extension is scheduled to roll out in four Asian countries (South Korea, Fiji, Indonesia and Sri Lanka) this year. DIAL's work with Transparency International and other institutions (such as the UNDP's Oslo Governance Center) goes beyond simply conducting and analysing ad-hoc surveys to participation in think tanks on methodologies and policies.

The last front consists of compiling and comparing existing data in usually disconnected fields (e.g. population censuses and election results). One case in point is the electoral geography work launched in Madagascar in the second half of the 1990s (Roubaud, 2000), which was extended to Mali and Ghana at an even more detailed municipal level (see the above-mentioned studies by Chauvet & Mercier and by André & Mesplé-Somps).

On all these fronts, DIAL's activities systematically include training for students, researchers and specialists from the country in which DIAL is working; institution building (essentially public); and a special focus on disseminating the research findings to a wide audience (through conferences and official publications) to help develop the democratic debate on these issues (see, for example, Kuépié *et al.* (2009) for Mali and Herrera (2010) for Peru and the Andean countries).

Conclusion: research outlook

The political economics of development will remain top of DIAL's research agenda in the coming years. This research work forms part of a number of programmes scheduled or already underway. Three of them are worth a special mention.

The POLECOMI project (POLitical ECONomy of Migration), funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR), is looking into how migration influences political life and the emergence of political elites in the two West African countries of Senegal and Mali. Our research aims to identify migrants' transfers of democratic standards to their home villages. POLECOMI is also designed to explore the influence of municipal governance on inequalities within municipalities in Mali. This entails qualitative and quantitative surveys in the two source countries and the two host countries (United States and France). The transfer of democratic standards will be captured by a series of ethnographic interviews combined with an analysis of quantitative surveys of migrants exiting polling stations in France and the United States during the first round of the presidential elections in Senegal (and possibly Mali). We will also study the determinants of access to local and national elected office with a focus on the role of the migratory experiences of individuals and/or their families. This research will be conducted on the basis of the case of Mali. The Malian national statistics institute (INSTAT) has accordingly added migration, political participation and public goods modules to its continuous modular household survey (EMOP) at our request. Lastly, we will look into the influence of governance on the economic sphere with an analysis of the allocation of public goods and inequalities within municipalities.

The second project, launched in 2011, concerns Madagascar and is part of the huge Institutions, Governance and Long-Term Growth programme ordered by the French Development Agency (AFD). It is analysing economic and political pathways and their long-run interrelations in a dozen countries, with each team developing its own methodology in keeping with a multidisciplinary approach. Madagascar's economy has been on a downward trend for decades. This relentless recession is far from explained by just economic policy choices. Every growth

upturn in the country has ended in a major political crisis that has dragged down the positive momentum. Three types of data input have been chosen to identify the factors behind this Malagasy paradox. The first is macroscopic and historical, designed to cross-compare economic growth with socio-political changes over more than 50 years. The second is microeconomic and socio-political. It will analyse the factors the people believe to be behind the successive uprisings based on a series of statistical surveys on their perceptions and aspirations. The last input takes a meso and sociological approach to explore the method of elite reproduction and the role played by the elite in the development process.

Last but not least, the third major project starting this year is called NOPOOR (*Enhancing Knowledge for Renewed Policies against Poverty*). This international research programme funded by the European Commission involves some

20 research institutions. One of the programme's strands focuses on governance to investigate the links between weak institutions and poverty. DIAL's studies on this will concern Madagascar, Vietnam and Peru. They will focus in particular on the running of the public services, participation by the people (especially the poor) and interactions between poverty and the quality of the institutions to analyse any vicious cycle in which the poor may be stuck. The research will draw on data from statistical surveys of the population's points of view and behaviour and will also make use of qualitative interviews. This project aims to develop innovative approaches, with a comparative aspect, based on an evaluation of the knowledge acquired on each focus area.

**Lisa Chauvet,
Emmanuelle Lavallée,
Mireille Razafindrakoto,
and François Roubaud**

References

Algan Y. & Cahuc P. (2007), *La société de défiance. Comment le modèle social français s'autodétruit*, Editions Rue d'Ulm, Paris.

André P. & Mesplé-Somps S. (2011), "Politics and the geographic allocation of public funds in a semi-democracy. The case of Ghana, 1996-2004", *DIAL working paper*, DT/2011/04, Paris.

Chauvet L. & Collier P. (2009), "Elections and economic policy in developing countries", *Economic Policy* 24, pp. 509-550.

Chauvet L. & Mercier M. (2012), "Migration and Elections in Mali. Does migration promotedemocratization in Africa?", *DIAL working paper*, DT/2012/01, Paris.

Cling J.-P., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2009), *La Banque mondiale : A la recherche d'un modèle de développement et le cas du Vietnam*, Editions Tri Thuc, Hanoi (published in Vietnam).

Culas C., Massuyeau B., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2012), « Un état des lieux des conditions de vie des groupes ethniques au Viêt Nam : approches économique et socio-anthropologique », in Lagrée S.(ed.), *Différenciation sociale et inégalités : Approches méthodologiques et transversales sur les questions de genre et d'ethnicité*, Edition de l'AFD, Hanoi (forthcoming).

Dang Giang, Nguyen ThiKieuVien, Nguyen Thuy Hang, Razafindrakoto M., Roubaud F. & Salomon M. (2011), *Youth Integrity in Vietnam: Piloting Transparency International's Youth Integrity Survey*.

Cecodes, DIAL, Live and Learn Transparency International, Towards Transparency, Hanoi.

Herrera J. (2010), "La democracia y la gobernabilidad en el Perúsegún los pobres y no pobres, evolución 2004 – 2010", *presentation to the seminar "Gobernabilidad, Democracia y Participación Ciudadana en los paísesandinos"*, CAN, French Cooperation Agency, IRD, Lima.

Herrera J., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2008), "Poverty, Governance and Democratic Participation in Francophone Africa and the Andean Region", *OECD Journal on Development*, Vol.9, No. 2, pp.99-118.

Herrera J., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2007), "Governance, Democracy and Poverty Reduction: Lessons drawn from household surveys in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America", *International Statistical Review*, 75(1), pp.70-95.

Herrera J., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2006), « Gouvernance, démocratie et lutte contre la pauvreté: Enseignements tirés des enquêtes officielles auprès des ménages en Afrique francophone et dans la Communauté andine », *Dialogue* No. 24, January, pp.2-7.

Johnson S., Kaufmann D. & Shleifer A. (1997), "The Unofficial Economy in Transition", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2, pp. 159-239.

Kaufmann D. & Wei S-J. (1999), "Does "grease money" speed up the wheels of commerce?", *The*

National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper, No. 7093, Washington D.C.

Kuépié M., Lavallée E., Razafindrakoto M., Roubaud F. & Sougane A. (2009), « Multiples dimensions de la pauvreté, gouvernance et démocratie : le point de vue de la population malienne. *Enquête ELIM2006, premiers résultats* », CEPS, DIAL, INSTAT, Luxembourg, Paris, Bamako.

Lavallée E. (2006), « Corruption et confiance dans les institutions politiques : test des théories fonctionnalistes dans les démocraties africaines », *Afrique Contemporaine*, Vol. 4, No. 220, pp.

Lavallée E., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2008), « Corruption and trust in political institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa », *DIAL working paper*, DT/2008/07, Paris.

Lavallée E., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2010), « Ce qui engendre la corruption : une analyse microéconomique sur données africaines », *Revue d'économie du développement*, Vol. 24, No.3, pp. 5-47.

Lavallée E. & Roubaud F. (2011), « La corruption influence-t-elle les performances économiques du secteur informel ? Micro-données d'Afrique subsaharienne », in Cling J.-P., Lagrée S., Razafindrakoto M. & F. Roubaud, *L'économie informelle dans les pays en développement : nouvelles analyses et politiques*, Chapter 1.1, Edition de l'AFD, Paris (forthcoming).

Le Dang Trung, Pham Hung, Herrera J., Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2008), *Impact evaluation of the Program P135 Phase 2: Analysis of the P135-II Baseline Survey: Final Report*, Committee for Ethnic Minority Affairs (CEMA) and the UNDP, Hanoi.

Lui F. T. (1985), « An equilibrium queuing model of bribery », *Journal of Political Economy*, 93, pp. 760-781.

OECD (2011), *Comment va la vie ? Mesurer le bien-être*, an OECD publication, Paris.

Razafindrakoto M., Razafindrakoto D. & Wachsberger J.-M. (2008), « Madagascans and De-

mocracy: Principles, Practice and Participation: First Results of Afrobarometer 2008 Surveys in Madagascar », *Afrobarometer Briefing Paper* No. 64 (www.afrobarometer.org/papers).

Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2010), « Are International Databases on Corruption Reliable? A Comparison of Expert Opinion Surveys and Household Surveys in Sub-Saharan Africa », *World Development*, August, 38(8), pp. 1057-1069.

Razafindrakoto M. & Roubaud F. (2006), « Governance, Democracy and Poverty Reduction: Lessons drawn from the 1-2-3 surveys in francophone Africa », *African Statistical Journal* Vol. 2, May, pp. 43-82.

Razafindrakoto M., Roubaud F. & Salomon M. (2011), *Vietnam country analysis of the views and experiences of urban citizens*, DIAL, Transparency International, Towards Transparency, Hanoi.

Rodriguez J. & Wachsberger J.-M. (2009), « Mesurer la confiance, dénoncer la défiance : deux économistes au chevet du modèle social français », *Revue française de sociologie*, No.50-1, pp. 151-176.

Rose-Ackerman S., ed. (2006), *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham and Northampton.

Roubaud F. (2000), *Identités et transition démocratique : l'exception malgache ?*, L'Harmattan / Tsipika, Paris, Antananarivo.

Roubaud F. (2000), « Les élections présidentielles à Madagascar 1992-1996 : un essai de géographie électorale », in Roubaud F., op.cit, Chapter I, pp.21-59.

Stiglitz J., Sen A. & Fitoussi J.-P. (2009), *Rapport de la Commission sur la mesure des performances économiques et du progrès social*, Éditions Odile Jacob, Paris.

Zoellick R.B. (2011), « Moyen Orient et Afrique du Nord : Un nouveau contrat social pour le développement », speech to the Peterson Institute for International Economics, 6 April.

Research programmes in progress

The NOPOOR project

Enhancing Knowledge for Renewed Policies against Poverty

A consortium headed by the DIAL Joint Research Unit (UMR) has won the *Tackling Poverty in a Development Context* call for proposals put out by the FP7 Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) programme. This project was launched on 1 April 2012 following eight months of negotiations to define the hundred

or so research activities concerned. The consortium is made up of 20 academic and research institutions (including IRD and the University of Paris Dauphine) in 17 countries across four continents. Over one hundred researchers will take part in the five-year project.

NOPOOR takes a comprehensive approach to poverty, covering all its facets, processes and dynamics. We know that poverty is a multidimensional phenomenon, as studied by the most recent research such as the *Multidimensional Poverty Index*, which measures a range of countries. However, NOPOOR will explore new and uncharted faces of poverty, including non-monetary, such as the role of social networks, urban segregation and the conditions for access to new technologies, to name but a few. This dynamic approach will open out into a broader-based study of the formation of inequalities taking in political aspects and the effects of globalisation.

Politics plays an important part in explaining the formation of inequalities and hence as an explanatory factor for poverty. International, national and local politics are of particular interest to the NOPOOR project, especially the links between political regimes and economic choices and their repercussions on the distribution of incomes. Other study areas are how poverty is affected by international aid and the influx of new aid players, international trade and, more generally, globalisation.

This project will collect a multitude of original data at grassroots level with its different partners. NOPOOR will also help improve qualitative and quantitative collection methods and will, accordingly, develop a partnership with the statistics institutes in the different Southern countries.

One of the purposes of the FP programmes is to provide politicians with new analyses and a greater understanding of the areas in which they could make a difference, giving them the keys they need to implement more effective policies. In this respect, NOPOOR will develop comparative studies with a particular focus on the conditions for successful poverty reduction policies in certain countries and how to tailor them to other countries. We will actively disseminate our research findings in partner countries and worldwide. Another NOPOOR priority is research capacity building, with the training of students and researchers from partner institutions.

List of NOPOOR Project Work Packages

- WP1: Management of the consortium
- WP2: Scientific coordination
- WP3: Poverty: dimensions, processes and interactions
- WP4: Impact of international aid on poverty
- WP5: Impact of globalisation and international migration on poverty
- WP6: Inclusion/exclusion in social networks, work and cities
- WP7: Education and social protection to alleviate poverty
- WP8: States and political systems
- WP9: Emerging issues and outlook
- WP10: Policy recommendations
- WP11: Dissemination and awareness raising
- WP12: Training and capacity building

NOPOOR project partners

1. DIAL (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement and Université Paris Dauphine)
2. German Institute of Global and Area studies (GIGA)
3. Kiel Institute for the World Economy (IfW)
4. University of Oxford, Centre for the Study of African Economies (CSAE)
5. Universidad Autonoma de Madrid
6. Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, Centre for Research in Economic Development (CRED)
7. Centre d'Études de Populations, de Pauvreté et de Politiques Socio-Economiques, Luxembourg (CEPS)
8. The Vienna Institute for Urban Sustainability (OIKODROM)
9. Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo, Lima (GRADE)
10. Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
11. Universidad de Chile
12. Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey (ISTEM-EGAP)
13. Consortium pour la Recherche et Sociale, Dakar (UCAD, CRES)
14. Ghana Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD)
15. University of Cape Town, Southern Africa Labour and Development Research Unit (SALDRU)
16. Université d'Antananarivo, Centre d'Études Économiques (CEE)
17. Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences (VASS-CAF)
18. Centre for Development Economics, Delhi School of Economics (CDE)
19. Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (US3330 Savoirs et Mondes Indiens), Delhi

Senegal's presidential election

A strong turnout by Senegalese migrants in France and the United States

The ANR POLECOMI project (POLitical ECO-nomics of International Migration and its Effects on Source Countries. Senegalese and Malian Case Studies) took a survey of members of the Senegalese

community exiting polling stations in France and the United States in the first round of Senegal's presidential election on 26 February 2012. This work follows on from the GLAMMS programme (Asso-

ciations of Migrants, Governance and Local Public Goods –the Case of Mali and Senegal).

A total of 550 Senegalese voters living in the Paris area, Le Havre, Marseille and New York were asked about their political, associative and union engagement “here and there” along with their political opinions and any voting guidance they may have given to their families in Senegal. The purpose of this study is to understand political transnationalism practices among migrants and to find out the extent to which these migrants endeavour to directly influence the political actions of their families back home.

Although the processing of this survey data is still in its early days, preliminary results find that interviewees have a strong political engagement in Senegal. A full 25.4% of voters interviewed in France said they were (or had been) members of a Senegalese political party (this proportion rose to 29.7% in the United States). In addition, 29.7% of the interviewees in France said they sympathise with the 23rd June Movement (M23) and 28.3% with the Y'en a Marre (Enough is Enough) protest movement, both firmly opposed to Abdoulaye Wade's running for a third term (member figures are 23.1% and 18.6% in the United States). Consequently, a mere 11.0% and 15.1% of voters residing in France and the United States feel close to Abdoulaye Wade's party, the PDS. In both host countries, Macky Sall's Alliance for the Republic (APR) party is top of the list of voters' political preferences, closely followed by the Socialist Party for the Senegalese living in France and Moustapha Niassé's Alliance of the Forces of Progress (AFP) party for those living in the United States.

The results also find that Senegalese voters are very interested in politics in their country of residence. In France, 44.9% of the Senegalese interviewed said they had sympathies with a French political party (38.2% in the United States) and 82.6% of those with dual nationality are registered to vote in France (91.7% in the United States). The majority (53.4%) of the migrants in France say they sympathise with the ideas of the French Socialist Party, while 41.2% in the United States have an affinity with the Democrats.

Last but not least, our findings show that many respondents try to influence the political practices of their families in their home country: 66.1% of migrants interviewed in France said they had encouraged members of their family back home to register to vote in the 2012 Senegalese presidential elections (69.6% in the United States) and over 40% of them had given voting guidance. Yet the migrants also receive voting guidance from family members and/or religious leaders in their home country, albeit in significantly smaller proportions.

Future work will take our analysis further by examining, i) whether migrants going to the polling stations to vote have different socioeconomic characteristics to the rest of the migrant population, ii) whether the diaspora's voting behaviour is different to residents and, iii) whether voting patterns in migration areas are different to other parts of Senegal.

**Contact: POLECOMI Team
(L. Chauvet, J-Ph. Dedieu, F. Gubert and
S. Mesplé-Somps)**

Selected 2011 publications by UMR members

Bensidoun, I., Jean S., and Sztulman, A. (2011). "International trade and income distribution : reconsidering the evidence", *Review of World Economics* 147(4): 593-619

Berbain, S., Bourbonnais, R. and Vallin, P. (2011). Forecasting, Production and Inventory Management of Short Life-Cycle Products: Review of Literature and Case studies, *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal*, 12(4): 84-111.

Bienaymé, A. (2011). "L'art de la réforme", *Societal* 74, 144p.

Bourbonnais, R. (2011). *Econométrie : manuel et exercices corrigés* (8ème édition), Paris, Dunod, 379p.

Briard, K., Duc, C., El Mekkaoui de Freitas, N., Legendre, B. and Mage, S. (2011). "Aléas de carrière et pensions de retraite", *Economie et Statistique*, n°441-442: 145-158.

Briard, K., Duc, C., El Mekkaoui de Freitas, N., Legendre, B. and Mage, S. (2011). "Career interruptions: How do they impact pension rights?", *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance: Issues and Practice*, vol. 36: 440-457

Cling, J.-P., Razafindrakoto, M. and Roubaud, F. (2011). "La Banque mondiale, entre transformations et résilience", *Critique internationale*, 2011/4, n° 53:43-65.

Chauvet, L., Collier P., and Hoeffler, A. (2011). "The cost of failing states and the limits to sovereignty" in *Fragile states. Causes, costs and responses*, W. Naudé, A. U. Santos-Paulino et M. McGillivray, Oxford University Press: 91-110.

Cogneau, D. and Rouanet, L. (2011). "Living conditions in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana 1925-1985: What Do Survey Data on Height Stature Tell Us", *Economic History of Developing Regions* 26(2): 55-82.

- Daumal, M. and Ozyurt S.** (2011). "The Impact of International Trade Flows on Economic Growth in Brazilian States", *Review of Economics and Institutions* 2(1): 1-25.
- Gazaniol, A., Peltrault F., et al.** (2011). "Les performances des entreprises françaises implantées à l'étranger", *Economie et Statistiques* 435-436: 149-167.
- Gubert, F.** (2011). "Migrations, transferts et développement : le Mexique comme point de comparaison", in *Le Maghreb dans les relations internationales*, Mohsen-Finan K. (dir), CNRS Editions: 131-164.
- Gubert, F. and Nordman C. J.** (2011). "Return migration and small enterprise development in the Maghreb", in *Diaspora for Development*, Plaza. S. and Ratha. D. (Eds). Washington.
- Gürbüz, B. and Raffinot, M.** (2011). "Croissance et répartition des revenus en Turquie (1994-2005) : Quel impact sur la pauvreté ?", *Régions et Développement*, 34: 19-38.
- Legendre B.** (2011). "Inequalities between Retirees and Workers: an Empirical Model to Capture the Effect of Taxation", *Economics Bulletin*, 31(4): 2787-2798.
- Legendre B.** (2011) : La croissance du revenu des retraités en Europe peut-elle être considérée comme pro-pauvre? *Retraite et Société*, 60: 173-195.
- Merceron, S., Mesplé-Somps S., and Pasquier-Doumer L.** (2011). "Quelle stratégie d'échantillonnage pour évaluer l'impact d'un projet d'urbanisation dans un bidonville de Djibouti ?" in *Pratiques et méthodes de sondage*, M.-E. Tremblay, P. Lavallée et M. El haj Tirari, Dunod: 121-124.
- Mouhoud, E. M.** (2011). "Les limites des politiques de relocalisation", in *La France et ses multinationales : stratégie globale et intérêt national*. L. Q. Faibis, Jean-Michel. Paris, Xerfi: 181-200.
- Mouhoud E.M.** (2011). *Mondialisation et délocalisation des entreprises*, Repères, La Découverte (troisième édition)
- Nordman, C. J. and Pasquier-Doumer L.** (2011). "Vocational education, on-the-job training and labour market integration of young workers in urban West Africa", Background paper 2012, Education for all global monitoring report.
- Muller C. and Nordman C.J.** (2011). "Intra-Firm Human Capital Externalities in Tunisia", *Journal of Development Studies*, 47(4): 657-675.
- Nordman, C.J., Robilliard, A.-S. and Roubaud, F.** (2011). "Gender and Ethnic Earnings Gaps in Seven West African Cities", *Labour Economics*, 18, Supplement 1: S132-S145.
- Oudin X.** (2011). "Analyse du phénomène « Dividende démographique » ou comment le mettre au profit des sociétés" in *Transition démographique et emploi en Afrique subsaharienne*, AFD, coll. A Savoir n°5: 40-46.
- Oudin X. and Bélanger D.** (2011). "Les migrations de cadres du Nord vers le Sud du Viêt-Nam après la réunification de 1976", in *Mémoires et démographie : Regards croisés au Sud et au Nord*, R. Marcoux (dir.), collection Cahiers du CIEQ, Presses de l'Université Laval, Québec: 332-343
- Razafindrakoto, M., Cling, J.-P. , Culas, C., and Roubaud, F.** (2011). "Comment la transition économique est-elle vécue et perçue par la population ? Analyse de la complémentarité entre approches qualitative et quantitative", in *Transitions décrétées, transitions vécues. Du global au local: approches méthodologiques, transversales et critiques*, Lagrée, S., ed., Edition de l'AFD / Knowledge Publishing House, Paris: 181-259.
- Razafindrakoto, M., Cling, J.-P. , Gironde, C. and Roubaud, F.** (2011). "Transitions sur le marché du travail : lectures macro et micro", in *Transitions décrétées, transitions vécues. Du global au local : approches méthodologiques, transversales et critiques*, Lagrée, S., ed., Editions de l'AFD / Knowledge Publishing House, Paris: 59-85
- Siroën, J.-M.** (2011). "Uma mundialização financeira em crise in A natureza social das finanças: fundos de pensão, sindicalistas e recomposição das elites", in Chaves Jardim, M., ed., Edusc- FAPESP: 47-69.
- Siroën, J.-M.** (2011). "Négociations commerciales multilatérales et cycle de Doha : les leçons d'un échec annoncé", *Négociations*, n°2, pp. 9-21.

PhD Dissertations defended in 2011

Rakotomanana, Faly Hery

Secteur informel urbain, marché du travail et pauvreté. Essais d'analyse sur le cas de Madagascar.

Thesis directors: Jean-Pierre Lachaud & François Roubaud

Rakoto-Tiana, Nelly

L'école primaire pour tous ? Politiques éducatives, demande d'éducation et travail des enfants à Madagascar.

Thesis directors: François Roubaud & Flore Gubert

Thomas, Anne-Claire

Poverty, risk and insurance in rural Madagascar

Thesis directors: Bruno Henry De Frahan & Flore Gubert